

# CONCERNING POINT SETS WHICH CAN BE MADE CONNECTED BY THE ADDITION OF A SIMPLE CONTINUOUS ARC\*

BY

GORDON T. WHYBURN

In their paper *On the most general plane closed point set through which it is possible to pass a simple continuous arc*, R. L. Moore and J. R. Kline† prove that it is possible to pass a simple continuous arc through every closed and bounded set  $M$  having the property that every closed and connected subset of  $M$  is either a single point or an arc  $t$  such that no point of  $t$ , with the exception of its end points, is a limit point of  $M - t$ . It is clear, however, that in order that a simple continuous arc may be drawn in such a way as to contain at least one point of every maximal connected subset of a point set  $M$ , it is not necessary that the set  $M$  be of the particular type satisfying their theorem. In this paper I shall make a study of certain conditions which a point set must satisfy in order that a simple continuous arc or an open curve may be drawn in such a way that the set in question plus that arc or curve will be connected.

**LEMMA I.** *If  $M$  is any closed and bounded point set, then there exists a countable number of arcs  $t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots$ , such that for every positive integer  $n$ ,  $t_n$  contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$  which is of diameter greater than  $1/n$ .*

Let  $n$  denote any definite positive integer. Since  $M$  is bounded, there exists a square  $S$  which encloses  $M$ ;  $S$  plus its interior can be divided by a finite number of straight lines parallel and perpendicular to the bases of  $S$  into a finite number of squares plus their interiors in such a way that the diameter of each of these squares is less than  $1/n$  and such that the interiors of no two of them have a point in common. Let  $G$  denote this finite set of squares (not including their interiors), and let  $T$  denote the point set obtained by adding together all the point sets of the set  $G$ . Then since the interior of every square of the set  $G$  is of diameter less than  $1/n$ , every maximal connected subset of  $M$  which is of diameter greater than  $1/n$  must contain at least one point in common with  $T$ . Let  $F$  denote the set of all points common to  $M$  and  $T$ . From each maximal connected subset  $Y$  of  $F$  select exactly one point

---

\* Presented to the Society, April 2, 1926; received by the editors in April, 1926.

† *Annals of Mathematics*, (2), vol. 20 (1919), pp. 218-223.

$X$ ; and let  $P$  denote the set of all such points ( $X$ ) thus selected. Then since  $F$  is closed and has no continuum of condensation, it follows that  $\bar{P}$  is a closed and totally disconnected point set.\* It follows, then, from the above mentioned theorem of Moore and Kline† that  $\bar{P}$  is a subset of a simple continuous arc  $t_n$ . Clearly  $t_n$  contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$  which is of diameter greater than  $1/n$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $M$  is a closed and bounded point set, a necessary and sufficient condition that there should exist a simple continuous arc which contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$  is that for every continuum  $K$  of  $M$  which consists of more than one point there should exist a positive number  $\epsilon_k$  such that  $K$  is not the limiting set of any collection of maximal connected subsets of  $M$  each of diameter less than  $\epsilon_k$ .*

(I). The condition is sufficient. For since  $M$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma I, there exists a countable set of arcs  $t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots$ , having the same property with respect to  $M$  that the corresponding set of arcs in Lemma I has with respect to the set  $M$  of Lemma I. Let  $K_1$  denote the set of points common to  $t_1$  and  $M$ ; let  $K_2$  denote the set common to  $t_2$  and to those maximal connected subsets of  $M$  which have no point in  $t_1$ ;  $K_3$  the set common to  $t_3$  and to those maximal connected subsets of  $M$  which have no point in  $t_1 + t_2$ ; in general, let  $K_n$  denote the set of points common to  $t_n$  and to those maximal connected subsets of  $M$  which have no point in  $t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + \dots + t_{n-1}$ . Let  $K$  denote the point set  $K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4 + \dots$ . I will proceed to show that  $\bar{K}$  contains no continuum of condensation. Suppose, on the contrary, that  $\bar{K}$  contains a continuum of condensation  $H$ . Then  $H$  is also a continuum of condensation of  $M$ ; and by hypothesis there exists a positive number  $\epsilon_H$  such that  $H$  is not the limiting set of any set of maximal connected subsets of  $M$  each of diameter less than  $\epsilon_H$ . Now the elements of  $K$  have been so selected that for any given positive number, say  $\epsilon_H$ , there exists a positive number  $\delta(\epsilon_H)$  such that for every integer  $n > \delta(\epsilon_H)$ ,  $K_n$  contains points of only those maximal connected subsets of  $M$  which are of diameter less than  $\epsilon_H$ . Let  $i$  denote an integer greater than  $\delta(\epsilon_H)$ . Then  $\sum_{n=i+1}^{\infty} K_n$  contains points of only those maximal connected subsets of  $M$  which are of diameter less than  $\epsilon_H$ . It follows that not every point of  $H$  is a limit point of  $\sum_{n=i+1}^{\infty} K_n$ . Let  $G$  denote the collection of point sets  $K_1, K_2, K_3, \dots, K_i$ . Let

$$A = \sum_{n=1}^{n=i} K_n, \text{ and let } B = \sum_{n=i+1}^{n=\infty} K_n.$$

\* In this paper wherever a symbol  $X$  is used to denote a point set, the symbol  $\bar{X}$  will be used to denote the set  $X$  plus all those points which are limit points of  $X$ .

† R. L. Moore and J. R. Kline, loc. cit.

Then  $K = A + B$ . Let  $P$  denote a point of  $H$  which is not a limit point of  $B$ ; and let  $C$  be a circle enclosing  $P$  and not enclosing or containing any point whatever of  $\bar{B}$ . From a theorem due to Janiszewski,\* it follows that  $C$  plus its interior contains a subcontinuum  $D$  of  $H$ . Then  $D$  is a subset of the closed set  $\bar{A}$ . Let  $K_a$  and  $K_b$  denote any two elements of  $G$ ,  $K_a$  denoting the one of lower subscript. I will show that  $\bar{K}_a$  and  $\bar{K}_b$  have at most a closed and totally disconnected set in common. Suppose, on the contrary, that  $\bar{K}_a$  and  $\bar{K}_b$  have in common a continuum  $t$  which consists of more than one point. Then  $t$  is a subset both of  $t_a$  and of  $t_b$ ; hence  $t$  is an arc. Let  $E$  and  $F$  denote the end points of  $t$ . Since  $t$  is a subset of  $t_a$ , and since  $t_a$  precedes  $t_b$ , then no point of  $t$  can belong to  $K_b$ . And since no point of  $t$  except the points  $E$  and  $F$  can be a limit point of  $t_b - t$ , then no points of  $t$  except  $E$  and  $F$  can belong to  $\bar{K}_b$ . But by supposition,  $t$  is a subset of  $\bar{K}_b$ . It follows that  $\bar{K}_a$  and  $\bar{K}_b$  have at most a closed and totally disconnected set in common. Let  $U$  denote the set of all points  $(X)$  of  $\bar{A}$  such that for some two elements  $K_a$  and  $K_b$  of  $G$ ,  $X$  is common to  $\bar{K}_a$  and  $\bar{K}_b$ . Since  $U$  is the sum of a finite number of closed and totally disconnected point sets,  $U$  itself must be closed and totally disconnected. Hence  $D$ , a continuum consisting of more than one point, cannot be a subset of  $U$ . Therefore, there exists a point  $P$  of  $D$  such that for some element  $K_p$  of  $G$ ,  $P$  belongs to  $\bar{K}_p$  and is not a limit point of  $\bar{A} - \bar{K}_p$ . It follows from the above mentioned theorem of Janiszewski's† that  $\bar{K}_p$  contains a continuum  $l$  of  $D$  such that no point of  $l$  is a limit point of  $\bar{A} - \bar{K}_p$ . But  $l$  is a subset of  $t_p$ . Hence  $l$  is an arc, and no points of  $l$  except its end points can be limit points of  $\bar{K}_p - l$ . Hence if  $O$  is an interior point of  $l$ ,  $O$  is not a limit point of  $\bar{K} - l$ . But  $l$ , by supposition, belongs to  $H$ , a continuum of condensation of  $\bar{K}$ . Thus the supposition that  $\bar{K}$  contains a continuum of condensation leads to a contradiction.

Now from each maximal connected subset  $Y$  of  $\bar{K}$  let us select exactly one point  $X$ . Let  $N$  denote the set of all the points  $(X)$  thus selected. Since  $\bar{K}$  contains no continuum of condensation, it readily follows that  $\bar{N}$  is a closed and totally disconnected set. It is clear that  $\bar{N}$  contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$  which is of diameter greater than 0. Let  $Q$  denote the set of all those maximal connected subsets of  $M$  which have no point in common with  $\bar{N}$ . Then since every maximal connected subset of  $Q$  is a single point, it follows from our hypothesis that  $\bar{Q}$  is a closed and totally disconnected point set. Let  $R$  denote the point set  $\bar{N} + \bar{Q}$ .

---

\* *Sur les continus irréductibles entre deux points*, Journal de l'Ecole Polytechnique, (2), vol. 16 (1912), p. 109.

† Loc. cit.

Clearly  $R$  is closed and totally disconnected; accordingly, there exists a simple continuous arc  $T_0$  which contains  $R$ ;  $T_0$  contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$ .

(II). The condition is also necessary. Suppose, on the contrary, that there exists a closed and bounded point set  $M$  and a simple continuous arc  $T$  such that  $T$  contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$ , but such that  $M$  does not satisfy the condition of Theorem 1. Then  $M$  contains some continuum  $K$  consisting of more than one point and such that for every positive number  $\epsilon$ ,  $K$  is the limiting set of a set of maximal connected subsets of  $M$  each of diameter less than  $\epsilon$ . I will show that every point of  $K$  must be a limit point of  $T - K \cdot T$ . For suppose  $K$  contains a point  $P$  which is not a limit point of  $T - K \cdot T$ . Let  $C$  be a circle having  $P$  as center and not enclosing any point of  $T - K \cdot T$  and of radius less than  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the diameter of  $K$ . Let  $r$  denote the radius of  $C$ . By hypothesis there exists a set  $L$  of maximal connected subsets of  $M$  each of which is of diameter less than  $\frac{1}{4}r$  such that  $K$  is the limiting set of  $L$ . Since  $P$  belongs to  $K$ , there exists an element  $g$  of  $L$  which contains a point whose distance from  $P$  is less than  $\frac{1}{4}r$ ; and since  $g$  is of diameter less than  $\frac{1}{4}r$ ,  $g$  must lie wholly within  $C$ . But  $g$  must contain at least one point  $Q$  of  $T$ . Now since  $K$  is of diameter  $\geq 3r$ ,  $K$  cannot be an element of  $L$ . Hence  $Q$  does not belong to  $K$ , and therefore must belong to  $T - K \cdot T$ . But  $Q$  lies within  $C$ , and  $C$ , by supposition, encloses no point of  $T - K \cdot T$ . It follows, then, that every point of  $K$  is a limit point of  $T - K \cdot T$ . It is easily seen that  $K$  must be a subset of  $T$ ; and since  $K$  is closed and connected and consists of more than one point,  $K$  must be an arc. And if  $O$  denotes an interior point of  $K$ , then  $O$  is not a limit point of  $T - K$ . But we have just shown that every point of  $K$  is a limit point of  $T - K$ . Thus the hypothesis that the condition of Theorem 1 is not necessary leads to a contradiction, and the theorem is proved.

**Definition.** A point set  $M$  will be said to satisfy Condition L provided it is true that if  $K$  is any continuum whatever consisting of more than a single point, then there exists a positive number  $\epsilon_K$  such that  $K$  is not a subset of the limiting set of any collection of maximal connected subsets of  $M$  each of diameter less than  $\epsilon_K$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $M$  is any closed point set, then in order that there should exist a simple continuous arc which contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$  it is necessary and sufficient (1) that there should exist a bounded portion of the plane which contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$ , and (2) that  $M$  should satisfy Condition L.*

It follows by an argument similar to part (II) of the proof of Theorem 1 that the conditions are necessary. I will proceed to show that they are sufficient. By hypothesis it follows that there exists a circle  $C$  such that  $C$  plus its interior contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$ . Let  $R$  denote the interior of  $C$ , and let  $N$  denote the set of points common to  $M$  and to  $R+C$ . It readily follows that  $N$  satisfies Condition L; and since  $N$  is closed and bounded, it follows from Theorem 1 that there exists an arc  $T$  which contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $N$ . But every maximal connected subset of  $N$  belongs to a single maximal connected subset of  $M$ , and each maximal connected subset of  $M$  contains at least one maximal connected subset of  $N$ . It follows, then, that  $T$  contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $M$  is a closed point set which satisfies conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 2, and if  $K$  is a closed and bounded subset of  $M$  having the property that every subcontinuum of  $K$  is either a single point or an arc  $t$  such that no point of  $t$ , with the exception of its end points, is a limit point of  $M-t$ , then there exists an arc  $T$  which contains  $K$  and which contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$ .*

By an argument almost identical with part (I) of the proof of Theorem 1, it follows that there exists a closed, bounded, and totally disconnected point set  $R$  which contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$ . Let  $N$  denote the point set  $K+R$ . Then clearly  $N$  satisfies all the conditions of the above mentioned theorem of Moore and Kline.\* Accordingly, there exists a simple continuous arc  $T$  which contains  $N$ ;  $T$ , then, contains  $K$  and also contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$ .

It is interesting to note that Theorem 3 is a generalization of Moore and Kline's theorem. It reduces to their theorem in case  $K=M$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $M$  is a bounded point set such that the totality of all those limit points of  $M$  which do not belong to  $M$  is a closed set, then in order that there should exist a simple continuous arc which contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$  it is necessary and sufficient that  $M$  should satisfy Condition L.*

That the condition is necessary follows by an argument identical with part (II) of the proof of Theorem 1. I shall show that the condition is sufficient. Let  $M'$  denote the totality of all those limit points of  $M$  which  $M$

---

\* Loc. cit.

does not contain. For any definite positive integer  $n$ , let the sets  $S$ ,  $G$ ,  $T$ ,  $F$ , and  $P$  be selected exactly as was done in the proof of Lemma I. Then  $\bar{P}$  is totally disconnected. For suppose  $\bar{P}$  contains a continuum  $H$  consisting of more than a single point. Then every point of  $H$  is a limit point of a set of points of  $P$  which belong to  $H$ . And since  $M'$  is closed, it readily follows from Janiszewski's theorem mentioned above that  $H$  contains a continuum  $D$  which consists of more than one point and which is a subset of  $M$ . Since  $D$  is a subset of a finite number of arcs, then  $D$  must contain at least one arc  $t$  such that only the end points of  $t$  are limit points of  $T-t$ . But since  $t$  belongs to only one maximal connected subset of  $F$ , then  $P$  contains only one point at most of  $t$ . And since only the end points of  $t$  can be limit points of  $P$ ,  $\bar{P}$  can contain at most three points of  $t$ . Thus the supposition that  $\bar{P}$  is not totally disconnected leads to a contradiction. It follows, then, that there exists a simple continuous arc  $t_n$  which contains  $\bar{P}$ , and therefore contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$  which is of diameter greater than  $1/n$ . Hence, there exists a countable set of arcs  $t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots$ , such that for every positive integer  $n$ ,  $t_n$  contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$  of diameter greater than  $1/n$ .

Now let the sets  $K_1, K_2, K_3, \dots, K, N$ , and  $R$  be selected exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1. It can then be shown that  $R$  is totally disconnected. For suppose  $R$  contains a continuum  $H$  consisting of more than one point. Then either (1)  $H$  belongs wholly to  $M'$ , or (2)  $H$  contains a subcontinuum  $D$  which belongs wholly to  $M$  and which consists of more than a single point. In either case,  $H$  is a continuum of condensation of  $\bar{K}$ , and either of the two cases can be shown to lead to a contradiction by the same method as was used in the proof of Theorem 1 to show that the set  $\bar{K}$  contained no continuum of condensation. It follows, then, that  $R$  is closed and totally disconnected; consequently, there exists a simple continuous arc which contains  $R$  and which therefore contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *In order that a closed point set  $M$  (which is not itself an open curve) should be a subset of an open curve, it is necessary and sufficient (1) that every subcontinuum of  $M$  should be either a single point or a set  $t$  such that  $t$  is either an arc or a ray of an open curve having the property that no point of  $t$ , with the exception of its end point ( $s$ ), is a limit point of  $M-t$ , and (2) that if  $M$  contains two rays  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , then  $M-(r_1+r_2)$  is a bounded point set.*

The conditions are evidently necessary. I shall show that they are sufficient. There exists a circle  $C$  with center  $O$  such that  $C$  plus its interior contains no point of  $M$ . By an inversion of the whole plane about the circle

$C$ ,  $M$  is thrown into a bounded point set  $M^*$  which is closed except possibly for the point  $O$ . It is easily shown that the image under this inversion of every arc  $t$  of  $M$  is an arc  $t^*$  of  $M^*$ , and that the image of every ray  $r$  of  $M$  is an arc minus one end point in  $M^*$ , that end point in every case being the point  $O$  itself. Since  $M$  contains not more than two mutually exclusive rays, then  $O$  is an end point of not more than two arcs of  $M^*+O$  which have in common only the point  $O$ ; and if  $O$  is an end point of two such arcs, i.e., if  $O$  is an interior point of any arc of  $M^*+O$ , then  $O$  is not a limit point of  $M^*+O$  minus that maximal connected subset of  $M^*+O$  to which  $O$  belongs. It readily follows, then, that  $M^*+O$  is a closed and bounded point set which satisfies all the conditions of Moore and Kline's theorem quoted above. Accordingly, there exists a simple continuous arc  $t$  which contains  $M^*+O$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  denote the extremities of  $t$ . There exists an arc  $t_0$  from  $A$  to  $B$  having only the points  $A$  and  $B$  in common with  $t$ . Let  $l^*$  denote the simple closed curve  $t+t_0$ . It can easily be shown that the point set of which  $l^*-O$  is the image is an open curve which contains  $M$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $M$  is a closed point set (bounded or not), then in order that there should exist an open curve which contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$  it is necessary and sufficient that  $M$  should satisfy Condition L.*

That the condition is necessary follows by an argument almost identical with part (II) of the proof of Theorem 1. I shall proceed to show that it is sufficient.

**Proof I** (depending on Theorem 4). Let  $C$  denote a circle having center  $O$  and not enclosing or containing any point of  $M$ . By an inversion of the plane about the circle  $C$ ,  $M$  is thrown into a bounded point set  $M^*$  which is closed except possibly for the point  $O$ . I shall show that  $M^*$  satisfies Condition L. Suppose the contrary is true; then there exists a continuum  $K$  consisting of more than one point and such that for every positive number  $\epsilon$ ,  $K$  is the limiting set of a set of maximal connected subsets of  $M^*$  each of diameter less than  $\epsilon$ . Let  $P$  be a point of  $K$  which is different from the point  $O$ , and let  $J^*$  be a circle having  $P$  as center and not containing or enclosing  $O$ . It is a consequence of Janiszewski's theorem<sup>†</sup> that  $J^*$  plus its interior contains a subcontinuum  $H^*$  of  $K$  which consists of more than one point;  $H^*$  does not contain  $O$ . Hence  $H$ , the point set of which  $H^*$  is the image under this inversion, is a bounded point set. Let  $I^*$  denote the interior of  $J^*$ , and let  $J$  and  $I$  denote the point sets of which  $J^*$  and  $I^*$  respectively are

<sup>†</sup> See Janiszewski, loc. cit.

the images. Let  $G_1^*$  denote a set of maximal connected subsets of  $M^*$  each of which has a point within  $J^*$  and is of diameter less than 1 and such that  $H^*$  is a part of the limiting set of  $G_1^*$ ; let  $G_2^*$  denote a corresponding set having  $H^*$  as a part of its limiting set and such that each element of  $G_2^*$  has a point in  $I^*$  and is of diameter less than  $\frac{1}{2}$ ; let  $G_3^*, G_4^*, \dots$  denote corresponding sets for the numbers  $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots$ . Let  $G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots$  denote the point sets of which  $G_1^*, G_2^*, G_3^*, \dots$  are the images. Then  $H$  is a part of the limiting set of each of the sets  $G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots$ . But since  $M$  satisfies Condition L, there exists a positive number  $\epsilon_H$  such that  $H$  is not the limiting set of any set of maximal connected subsets of  $M$  each of diameter less than  $\epsilon_H$ . Then for every positive integer  $n$ ,  $G_n$  must contain at least one element  $g_n$  which is of diameter  $\geq \epsilon_H$ . From each set  $G_i$ , select one such element  $g_i$ , and let  $B$  denote the sequence of sets  $g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots$  thus obtained. Since every element of  $B$  contains at least one point in the bounded point set  $I$ , it follows that the sequence  $B$  contains some subsequence  $A$  which has a sequential limiting set  $\bar{g}$  which is of diameter  $\geq \epsilon_H$ . But  $A^*$ , the image of  $A$ , has the property that for every positive number  $\epsilon$ , there are not more than a finite number of elements of  $A^*$  of diameter greater than  $\epsilon$ . Hence, the limiting set  $\bar{g}^*$  of  $A^*$  must consist of only a single point; but  $\bar{g}^*$  is the image of  $\bar{g}$ , a point set of diameter  $\geq \epsilon_H$ . Thus the supposition that  $M^*$  does not satisfy Condition L leads to a contradiction. Then since  $M^*$  satisfies Condition L and lacks only the point  $O$  of being closed, it follows by Theorem 4 that there exists a simple continuous arc  $t$  which contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M^*$ . Let  $X$  and  $Y$  denote the extremities of  $t$ . There exists an arc  $t_0$  from  $X$  to  $Y$  which has only the points  $X$  and  $Y$  in common with  $t$ . Let  $l^*$  denote the simple closed curve  $t+t_0$ . Now if  $l^*$  contains the point  $O$ , it can readily be shown that the point set of which  $l^*-O$  is the image is an open curve which contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$ . In case  $l^*$  does not contain  $O$ , then  $M$  must satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 2, and with the aid of that theorem, Theorem 6 can easily be established in this case.

**Proof II (depending on Theorem 5).** I will indicate how the condition may be proved sufficient using methods very similar to those used in the proof of Theorem 1. For any definite positive integer  $n$ , let the whole plane be divided by a countable infinity of horizontal and vertical straight lines into a countable number of squares plus their interiors in such a way that the interiors of no two of these squares have a point in common and so that the diameter of each of them is less than  $1/n$ . Let  $G$  denote this countable set of squares (not including their interiors) and let  $T$  denote the point set obtained by adding together all the point sets of the set  $G$ . Let  $K$  denote

the point set common to  $T$  and  $M$ . From each maximal connected subset  $Y$  of  $K$ , select exactly one point  $X$ . Let  $P$  denote the set of all such points ( $X$ ) thus selected. It can readily be shown, then, that  $\bar{P}$  is a closed and totally disconnected point set. Then from Theorem 5 it follows that there exists an open curve  $l_n$  which contains  $\bar{P}$ ;  $l_n$  contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$  which is of diameter greater than  $1/n$ . Hence, there exists a countable number of open curves  $l_1, l_2, l_3, \dots$ , such that for every positive integer  $n$ ,  $l_n$  contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$  which is of diameter greater than  $1/n$ . Then by an argument almost identical with that used in the proof of Theorem 1, using this countable set of open curves instead of a countable set of arcs as in that case, it follows that  $M$  contains a closed and totally disconnected point set  $R$  which contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of  $M$ . Then, by Theorem 5, there exists an open curve  $l$  which contains  $R$ ;  $l$  satisfies all the conditions of the open curve required in the statement of Theorem 6.

**THEOREM 7.** *If  $M$  is any continuum whatever, and  $K$  is a closed and totally disconnected subset of  $M$ , then the point set  $M - K$  satisfies Condition L.*

Suppose  $M - K$  does not satisfy Condition L. Then there exists a continuum  $H$  consisting of more than one point such that for every positive number  $\epsilon$ ,  $H$  is a part of the limiting set of a set of maximal connected subsets of  $M - K$  each of diameter less than  $\epsilon$ . Since  $K$  is totally disconnected, there exists a point  $P$  of  $H$  which does not belong to  $K$ . Let  $C$  be a circle having  $P$  as center and not enclosing or containing any point of  $K$ . Let  $r$  denote the radius of  $C$ . Let  $N$  denote a set of maximal connected subsets of  $M - K$  each of diameter less than  $\frac{1}{4}r$ , which has  $H$  as a part of its limiting set. Then some element  $g$  of  $N$  contains a point  $Q$  whose distance from  $P$  is less than  $\frac{1}{4}r$ ; and since  $g$  is of diameter less than  $\frac{1}{4}r$ ,  $\bar{g}$  must lie wholly within  $C$ . But  $\bar{g}$  has a point in  $K$ ,\* and  $C$  encloses no point of  $K$ . Thus the supposition that  $M - K$  does not satisfy Condition L leads to a contradiction.

**THEOREM 8.** *If  $M$  is any continuum whatever, and  $K$  is a bounded [unbounded], closed, and totally disconnected subset of  $M$ , then there exists an arc [open curve] which contains at least one point of every maximal connected subset of the point set  $M - K$ .*

---

\* By virtue of the following theorem: *If  $K$  is any closed subset of a continuum  $M$  and  $g$  is any bounded maximal connected subset of  $M - K$ , then  $K$  contains at least one point of  $g$ .*